

# The Multi Satellite precipitation Working Group (MSWG)

Co-chairs: Daniel Vila & Ali Behrangi  
WG Rapporteur: Viviana Maggioni  
Number of participants: 27

Sorry if I missed your name (let us know)

Name	email	Institute
Ali Behrangi	behrangi@arizona.edu	U. of Arizona
Munekisa Yamamoto	yamamoto.munekisa@saxa.jp	JAXA/EORC
Shoichi Shige	shige@kugi.kyoto-u.ac.jp	Kyoto University
Luigi Caracciolo di Torchiarolo	luigi.caracciolodi@torchiarolo@aeronautica.difesa.it	CNMCA ITALIAN MET SERVICE
MARCO PETRACCA	M.Petracca@isac.cnr.it	CNR- ISAC
Janice Butneway	janice.butneway@noaa.gov	NOAA
ASHUTOSH CHAKRABORTY	CE23RESCHI2001@iith.ac.in	IIT Hyderabad.
Yashraj Upase	cc23resch11006@iith.ac.in	IIT Hyderabad.
Masafumi Hirose	mhirose@meijo-u.ac.jp	Meijo University
Riong Wu	zidi-qiong@163.com	CMA
Xiaogang Li	3588344013@qq.com	CMA
BOLENA LAPETA	bozena.lapeta@imgw.pl	IMGW- PIB
Bob Joyce	robert.joyce@nasa.gov	NASA/GSFC
Joe Turk	jturk@jpl.nasa.gov	JPL/Caltech
Takaji Kubota	kubota.takaji@jaxa.jp	JAXA
George Huffman	george.j.huffman@nasa.gov	NASA
Guosheng Liu	gliu@fsu.edu	FSU
Hugo Hidalgo	hugo.hidalgo@ucr.ac.cr	Un. of Costa Rica
Pingping Xie	Pingping.Xie@noaa.gov	NOAA/CPC
Zhong Liu	Zhong.Liu@nasa.gov	NASA/GMU
Yun-Lan CHEN	yunlan.chen@cwa.gov.tw	CWA / Taiwan.
Archie Veloria	archie@se.eei.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp	Osaka University
Eric Goldenstern	eric.goldenstern@colostate.edu	Colorado State University
SPENCER JONES	spencer.jones@colostate.edu	Colorado State Univ.
Marc Schroeder	marc.schroeder@dwd.de	DWD
Vijay John	vijay.john@eumetsat.int	EUMETSAT
VIVIANA MAGGIONI	VMAGGIONI@GMU.EDU	George Mason University

- **Users Survey:** we discussed the survey for user needs from product developers that also emphasizes the type of uncertainty information that user would like to see
  - there are two parts in the survey: (1) general needs (2) uncertainty. If user does not care about uncertainty, he/she can skip the uncertainty section.

**Action item and delivery:** The multi-satellite WG will finalize the survey together with the GPM team and will distribute to a wide range of users

- **Uncertainty Focus group:** Based on the discussion about the uncertainty and complications related **to spatial and temporal averaging of uncertainties**, the multi-satellite WG recommends a new IPWG Focus Group on Uncertainty in Satellite precipitation products to focus on uncertainties, deliverable products, and methods for uncertainty integration and representation.
- Participants also discussed that producers should be mindful of the EOD: e.g., when providing Daily accumulated products, potentially provide:
  - 00z-00z
  - Based on local EOD definition

## **Uncertainty testing/assessment activities:**

We will keep an eye and discuss new efforts for adding uncertainties to the precipitation products.

To start (**Action item**), Jackson Tan (IMERG) agreed to update us on the outcomes of a new effort (in progress) for adding uncertainties to the next version of the IMERG. We would love to be updated about other uncertainty efforts/methods considered for inclusion in the precipitation products.

## GEO-Ring:

- ❑ There were lots of discussions about the importance of GEO-Ring and the features that IPWG members (especially product developers) are interested in.
- ❑ To make the discussion more effective, we decided to come up with a list of requirements that can be recommended to CGMS. (see the next slide)
- ❑ We are lucky to have Viju John in our WG. Viju is heavily involved in the development of GEO-Ring and we anticipate lots of interaction with him in the near future.

## Recommendations to CGMS:

### (1/2) Importance of GEO-Ring for IPWG:

We need:

- Filling the current gap in historical time series over Indian ocean is critical
- 30 min product, but if not possible definitely better than 3-hours
- 4 km (more or less)
- IR, Water Vapor, Visible (other channels are useful but priority goes to these 3 channels for now)
- Globally uniform gridding with zenith angle correction, sensor intercalibration, parallax correction, and navigation and calibration as needed
- "short" latency – it must be "reasonable" near-real-time value, like 3 hr, if not possible to have near real-time, like 15 min.
- the usual ancillary fields, like satellite identifier
- it would be really cool to have the GridSat gridding system for the analysis-ready Level 3 data, which provides a first layer of the smallest zenith angle data, then a second layer with the second-smallest zenith angle data, where it exists, and optionally a third layer with the third. This allows you to reconstruct individual satellite disks where that's useful, like cloud tracking.
- The exemplar of how the top layer should look is the current CPC Global 4 Km Merged IR, but extended to 3 channels and the entire GEO-Ring record.
- Considering the shift to an approximately-equal-area grid, likely a cube sphere, which the global models tend to use, or a tessellated sphere.

## Recommendations to CGMS:

### (2/2) the need for maintaining a high-quality satellite in space

The need for continuing a publicly accessible GPM-like (but preferably more advanced) satellites to “guide” and/or “coordinate” the constellation of all sort of existing/emerging types of spaceborne sensors (including small sats, CubeSat, etc.).

If so,

Preferably we need also an advancement over GPM, not just a copy of GPM to continue the improvement ....(TRMM→GPM→ XM)

Areas of improvements ...

- W band ?
- Higher frequency channels ...